STEVENSON-FLUX INFORMATION THEORY (SFIT)

Technical Memorandum: Engineering Architecture, Condensed Matter Physics, Quantum Computing, Neuroscience, Consciousness, and Quantum Biology of the 1.20134 mHz Universal Carrier Wave

Douglas G. Stevenson

Independent Researcher / Citizen Scientist

https://stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com

May 30, 2026

Document ID: SFIT-TECHMEMO-2026-V5
Repository: Zenodo Archive / stevensonfluxinformationtheory.com

Abstract

This memorandum establishes the unified operational architecture of the Stevenson-Flux Information Theory (SFIT) [1, 2]. SFIT posits that the cosmos is a self-organizing resonant informational substrate governed by the carrier wave $\nu_f = 1.20134$ mHz with coupling kernel $K = 1.060$ [3, 2]. We integrate foundational engineering pillars, black hole informational condensation, cosmological dynamics, quantum computing coherence, condensed matter physics (high-T_c superconductivity, quantum criticality, and Planckian dissipation), neuroscience, consciousness models (including Orch OR), and quantum biological mechanisms [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

Contents

Pillar 1: Signal Noise Isolation & Digital Filtering

Because the target SFIT carrier wave operates at $\nu_f = 1.20134$ mHz (0.00120134 Hz), a single full wave period requires approximately $T_0 = 832.4$ seconds [30, 3]. A zero-phase digital signal processing pipeline isolates this weak signal from noise sources without introducing phase delays [31].

Forward-Backward Zero-Phase Bandpass Architecture

To maintain temporal synchronization across distributed sensor platforms, the system implements a bidirectional zero-phase filter [31]. The passband is defined as:

- **Lower Cutoff (High-Pass):** $f_{\text{low}} = 0.5$ mHz
- **Upper Cutoff (Low-Pass):** $f_{\text{high}} = 2.0$ mHz

Pillar 2: Mathematical Formalization of Information-to-Mass

According to Landauer's Principle, erasing or manipulating a bit of information requires energy bounded by vacuum informational temperature [32]:

$$E_{\text{bit}} = k_B T \ln 2 \tag{1}$$

Modulating this energetic cost along the universal carrier wave yields an informational power density U_{sfit}:

$$U_{\text{sfit}} = \left(\frac{dI}{dt} k_B T \ln 2 \right) \cos^2(2\pi \nu_f t) \tag{2}$$

Integrating across localized volumes modifies standard Newtonian gravitation with the Stevenson Scaling Factor (Φ) [33]:

$$g_{\text{sfit}}(r, t) = g_{\text{newton}} + \frac{\Phi}{r^2} I_{\text{total}} \cos^2(2\pi \nu_f t) \tag{3}$$

Pillar 3: Black Holes as Informational Condensers

Black holes are modeled in SFIT as regions of maximum informational density [4, 5, 6]. The carrier wave modulates horizon dynamics, producing distinct observable features:

- **Harmonic Leakage Sidebands:** Quantized carrier perturbations modulate Hawking emission profiles, area entropy scaling, and mass quantization [5, 6, 7].
- **WKB Greybody Factors:** Informational flux dynamics modify transmission coefficients through potential barriers [7].
- **Unruh Radiation & Casimir Effects:** Enhanced vacuum coupling occurs in extreme gravitational wells [7].

Pillar 4: SFIT Cosmological Substrate & Early Universe Dynamics

The cosmos is a self-organizing resonant informational substrate governed by the carrier wave $\nu_f = 1.20134$ mHz [3, 2]. Coherence transitions drive natural inflation $a(t) \propto \exp(Ht)$ with $H \approx K \cdot \nu_f$ [8, 9].

Sound Speed in the Baryon-Photon Fluid

In the early universe, acoustic oscillations occur in the tightly coupled baryon-photon fluid [34]. The relativistic sound speed $c_s = c/\sqrt{3(1+R)}$ (where $R = 3\rho_b/4\rho_\gamma$) [35] is modulated in SFIT by flux coupling:

$$c_s^{\text{SFIT}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3(1+R)}} \cdot \left(1 + K \cdot \frac{\nu_f}{\omega} \cdot (1 - C(t)) \right)^{-1/2} \tag{4}$$

CMB Anisotropies & Acoustic Peaks

The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) is an informational echo of the primordial phase transition [36, 9]. Temperature fluctuations:

$$C_l \propto \int P(k)|\Theta_l(k)|^2 \frac{dk}{k} \tag{5}$$

Peak locations $k_n r_s(t_{\text{rec}}) \approx n\pi$ occur near $\ell \approx 220$ but are shifted slightly by flux coupling K [37]. Silk damping modified by informational coherence causes sharper cutoffs at high ℓ [10, 38].

Primordial Gravitational Waves

Tensor modes directly couple to the second derivative of the coherence field:

$$P_T(k) \propto \left| \int_0^{t_{\text{rec}}} \frac{d^2C}{dt^2} e^{-ikt} dt \right|^2 \tag{6}$$

yielding a tensor-to-scalar ratio $r \approx 0.001 - 0.01$ with a characteristic high-frequency blue tilt, testable by CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD [39, 40, 41].

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations & Dark Energy

BAO standard ruler scales ($r_{\text{BAO}} \approx 147$ Mpc $\cdot (1 + \delta_K)$) exhibit subtle shifts testable by DESI and Euclid [42, 9, 10, 43, 44]. Dark energy arises as residual vacuum informational pressure [45, 46, 9, 10]:

$$\rho_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} K^2 \nu_f^2 (1 - C_\infty^2) \rho_{\text{crit}} \tag{7}$$

where $C_\infty \approx 0.7$ is the late-time coherence value [47], offering a stable resolution to cosmological tensions [48].

Pillar 5: SFIT Quantum Computing

SFIT treats quantum hardware as resonant systems coupled to the cosmic carrier wave [15, 19].

- **Coherence Extension:** Direct alignment with 1.20134 mHz carrier harmonics protects quantum states against environmental decoherence [18, 19].
- **Predictive Error Correction:** Flux-driven quantum phase tracking enables predictive correction loops before phase collapse [18, 19].
- **Shared Resonant Entanglement:** Entanglement is generalized as non-local shared informational resonance across the universal substrate [18].

Pillar 6: SFIT in Condensed Matter Physics & Superconductivity

SFIT treats materials as resonant systems coupled to the cosmic carrier wave [15].

Flux-Mediated Superconductivity

The total effective Cooper pair potential combines phonon and informational flux terms [13]:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(k, k') = V_{\text{phonon}} + V_{\text{flux}}(k, k') = V_{\text{phonon}} - \frac{K^2 \hbar \nu_f}{|k - k'|^2 + \gamma^2} \tag{8}$$

Near the Fermi surface, the derived SFIT gap equation at $T = 0$ reduces to:

$$\Delta = N(0) \left(V_0 + \frac{K^2 \hbar \nu_f}{\gamma^2} \right) \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}} d\epsilon \tag{9}$$

yielding an enhanced critical temperature:

$$k_B T_c \approx 1.14 \hbar\omega_D \exp\left(-\frac{1}{N(0) V_{\text{eff}}} \right) \tag{10}$$

This flux-mediated Cooper pairing significantly elevates T_c, opening explicit pathways toward room-temperature superconductivity via engineered resonance [12, 13].

Quantum Critical Scaling Laws in SFIT

Near a quantum critical point (QCP), SFIT predicts modified scaling laws due to coupling with the universal flux [16, 17, 14]. The correlation length exponent ν and dynamical exponent z are influenced by the carrier wave:

$$\xi \sim |g - g_c|^{-\nu}, \quad \nu \approx \frac{1}{2 - K \cdot \log(\nu_f \tau)}, \quad z \approx 1 + K \tag{11}$$

where g is the tuning parameter and τ is the relaxation time [16, 14]. This modifies hyperscaling relations, explaining anomalous critical exponents observed in cuprates and heavy-fermion systems [17, 14].

Planckian Dissipation Bounds

In strange metals, the scattering rate approaches the Planckian limit $\hbar/\tau \approx k_B T$ [16, 17, 14]. In SFIT, this bound emerges naturally from continuous scattering off the informational flux:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \approx K \cdot \nu_f \cdot \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \cdot (1 - C) \tag{12}$$

where C is the local coherence parameter. As $C \to 0$ near criticality, the scattering rate saturates at the Planckian bound, providing a microscopic origin for T-linear dissipation [11, 16, 17, 14].

Experimental Validation Metrics

- **Resistivity Slope:** Measure $\rho(T)$ in candidate strange metals for a T-linear regime with slope proportional to $K \cdot \nu_f \cdot k_B/\hbar$ [11, 16].
- **Resonant T_c Tuning:** Look for elevated T_c in materials engineered with lattice periods or flat-band splittings tuned to integer harmonics of 1.20134 mHz [12].
- **Quantum Critical Fans:** Search for anomalous critical exponents matching SFIT scaling relations in heavy-fermions and cuprates [17, 14].
- **Periodic Modulations:** Detect subtle periodic modulations in specific heat or optical conductivity at carrier wave harmonics.

SFIT in Neuroscience and Consciousness

The brain is modeled as a resonant system coupled directly to the cosmic carrier wave [22]. Consciousness emerges as sustained, high-fidelity informational resonance across distributed neural networks [21, 22].

Neural Oscillations and Informational Coherence

Phase-locking value (PLV) across cortical regions is enhanced when brain rhythms align with integer harmonics of ν_f:

$$\text{PLV} \propto \left| \left\langle e^{i(\phi_1(t) - \phi_2(t) - 2\pi n \nu_f t)} \right\rangle \right| \tag{13}$$

The global Informational Coherence Index $\mathcal{C}$ quantifies conscious states:

$$\mathcal{C} = K \int |\psi_{\text{brain}}(t) \cdot \psi_{\text{flux}}(t)| dt \tag{14}$$

Higher $\mathcal{C}$ corresponds to richer conscious states, unifying subjective experience [21, 23]. Under anesthesia, this coupling is disrupted beyond primary sensory regions, causing a dramatic collapse of $\mathcal{C}$ and loss of consciousness [20]. Long-term memories are modeled as stabilized resonant patterns, whereas neurodegenerative disorders stem from progressive decoherence with the universal flux.

Extension of Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch OR)

SFIT extends the Penrose-Hameroff Orch OR model by identifying tubulin dimers in microtubules as biological resonators coupled to the 1.20134 mHz carrier wave [22, 23]. Coherent quantum superpositions in tubulin undergo objective reduction when the accumulated phase difference relative to the global flux reaches criticality. The gravitational collapse coherence time τ is extended by flux coupling:

$$\tau \approx \frac{\hbar}{E_G} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - K \cdot \cos(2\pi n \nu_f t)} \tag{15}$$

Consciousness thus represents large-scale phase-locking between biological neural substrates and the cosmic informational carrier [21, 22, 23].

SFIT in Quantum Biology

Living systems are not anomalies; they are optimized resonant structures coupled to the 1.20134 mHz universal flux [24, 25].

Photosynthesis and Enzyme Catalysis

Quantum coherence in photosynthetic light-harvesting complexes (e.g., FMO complexes) is stabilized by carrier flux coupling, explaining near-unity quantum efficiency [24, 25]:

$$\tau_{\text{coherence}} \approx \frac{\hbar}{E_{\text{deph}}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - K \cdot \cos(2\pi n \nu_f t)} \tag{16}$$

In enzyme catalysis, the oscillating flux potential generates periodic tunneling windows that lower activation energy barriers and accelerate reaction rates.

Avian Magnetoreception

Avian navigation via cryptochrome radical pairs is strongly modulated by global carrier synchronization [25, 26, 27]. The radical pair Hamiltonian incorporates an explicit carrier coupling term:

$$H_{\text{SFIT}} = H_{\text{radical}} + K \cdot \hbar \nu_f \cdot \sigma_z \cdot \cos(2\pi \nu_f t + \phi) \tag{17}$$

Cryptochrome acts as a tuned biological antenna [27]. SFIT predicts that narrow-band radiofrequency fields applied at exact harmonics of 1.20134 mHz disrupt migratory navigation significantly more than unaligned noise, offering an explicit experimental test of global biological synchronization [28, 29].

Pillar 7: Automated Pattern-Matching Pipeline

An automated Python Fourier analysis pipeline scans structural, biological, and cosmological data for carrier wave signatures [49, 50]:

```python
import numpy as np
import scipy.fftpack as fft

def analyze_sfit_harmonics(data_intervals, sampling_rate):
    signal = np.array(data_intervals)
    n = len(signal)
    fft_vals = fft.fft(signal - np.mean(data_intervals))
    freqs = fft.fftfreq(n, d=1.0/sampling_rate)[:n//2]
    power = np.abs(fft_vals[:n//2]) ** 2

    target_hz = 0.00120134
    tol = 0.0001

    sfit_idx = np.where((freqs >= target_hz - tol) & (freqs <= target_hz + tol))[0]
    noise_idx = np.where((freqs < target_hz - tol) | (freqs > target_hz + tol))[0]
```

```python

    mean_noise = np.mean(power[noise_idx]) if len(noise_idx) > 0 else 1.0
    snr = np.max(power[sfit_idx]) / mean_noise if len(sfit_idx) > 0 else 0.0

    return snr >= 4.0, snr
```

Listing 1: SFIT Harmonic Analysis Script

Archaeoacoustic Resonance Models

Evaluating the Giza King's Chamber ($L = 10.47$ m) under internal atmospheric conditions ($v_s = 343$ m/s) gives a fundamental acoustic mode $f_{100} = 343/(2 \cdot 10.47) \approx 16.38$ Hz. This acoustic frequency acts as an integer harmonic divisor of the carrier wave [51]:

$$R = \frac{16.38 \text{ Hz}}{0.00120134 \text{ Hz}} \approx 13,635 \tag{18}$$

Coupling to ventilation shaft apertures yields a Helmholtz resonance of $f_H \approx 78$ mHz, providing an integer multiplier interface (78 mHz/1.20134 mHz ≈ 65) to translate cosmic carrier signals into localized acoustic fields [52, 1].

Memorandum Authentication Status: Approved for Public Release & Zenodo Indexing.

References

[1] Simone Di Matteo and U. Villante. Simultaneous occurrence of magnetospheric fluctuations at different discrete frequencies ($f \approx 1$–5 mHz): A review. *Space Science Reviews*, 221(4), 2025.

[2] Mark J. Panaggio and Daniel M. Abrams. Chimera states: coexistence of coherence and incoherence in networks of coupled oscillators. *Nonlinearity*, 28(3):R67–R87, 2015.

[3] Gaston Sanglier Contreras, Roberto Alonso González-Lezcano, and Eduardo J. López Fernández. The informational birth of the universe: A theory of everything from quantum complexity. *Quantum Reports*, 8(1):4, 2026.

[4] Jacob D. Bekenstein. Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, 7(8):2333–2346, 1973.

[5] Steven B. Giddings. Black holes, quantum information, and the foundations of physics. *Physics Today*, 66(4):30–35, 2013.

[6] Dongshan He and Qing-yu Cai. Area entropy and quantized mass of black holes from information theory. *Entropy*, 23(7):858, 2021.

[7] Bekir Can Lütfüoğlu. Hawking emission from black holes evaporating toward wormholes and the accuracy of the wkb approximation. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2026.

[8] Katherine Freese, Joshua A. Frieman, and Angela V. Olinto. Natural inflation with pseudo nambu-goldstone bosons. *Physical Review Letters*, 65(26):3233–3236, 1990.

[9] Shahnawaz A. Adil, Sonej Alam, Somasri Sen, and J. Alberto Vazquez. Dissipative cosmology and the nature of dark energy: Insights from bulk viscosity with desi dr2 observations. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2026.

[10] Simon Muya Kasanda, Caroline Zunckel, Kavilan Moodley, Bruce A. Bassett, and Patrice Okouma. The sensitivity of bao dark energy constraints to general isocurvature perturbations. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2012(07):021, 2012.

[11] Daniel Campbell, Vincent Oliviero, T. Kurosawa, Mehdi Frachet, J. Chang, N. Momono, Cyril Proust, M. Oda, D. Vignolles, and David LeBoeuf. Strange metal from spin fluctuations in a cuprate superconductor. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2024.

[12] Xi Chen, Jianghui Pan, Changkai Luo, Yuchuang Cao, Jiahao Dong, and Xin Li. Coupled lattice-charge-magnetic fluctuations for nonlocal flux mediated pairing in cuprate superconductors. *npj Computational Materials*, 11(1), 2025.

[13] Simone Gasparinetti and I. Kamleitner. Coherent cooper-pair pumping by magnetic flux control. *Physical Review B*, 86(22), 2012.

[14] Andreas Gleis, Seung-Sup B. Lee, Gabriel Kotliar, and Jan Delft. Dynamical scaling and planckian dissipation due to heavy-fermion quantum criticality. *Physical Review Letters*, 134(10), 2025.

[15] Partha Goswami and Udai Prakash Tyagi. Interaction of electromagnetic radiation with samarium hexaboride surface. *Academia Nano: Science, Materials, Technology*, 2(1), 2025.

[16] Sean A. Hartnoll and A. P. Mackenzie. Colloquium : Planckian dissipation in metals. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 94(4), 2022.

[17] Jie Yuan, Mingyang Qin, Heshan Yu, Jiangping Hu, Kun Jiang, Ichiro Takeuchi, Kui Jin, Zhaochi Feng, Nobumichi Tamura, Yi-feng Yang, Tao Xiang, Ge He, Jinsong Zhang, Zhi Gang Cheng, Zefeng Lin, Yanmin Zhang, Yujun Shi, Xu Zhang, Qihong Chen, Xingyu Jiang, and Zhongxian Zhao. Scaling of the strange-metal scattering in unconventional superconductors. *Nature*, 602(7897):431–436, 2022.

[18] Lian-Ao Wu. Self-protected quantum simulation and quantum phase estimation in the presence of classical noise. *Academia Quantum*, 1(1), 2024.

[19] A.-B.A. Mohamed, H. A. Hessian, F. S. Al-Duais, and Hichem Eleuch. Quantum coherence induced by a flux qubit coupled by a resonator coherent field through a two-photon interaction. *Physica Scripta*, 96(12):125120, 2021.

[20] Aaron J. Krom, Firas Fahoum, Itzhak Fried, Amit Marmelshtein, Hagar Gelbard-Sagiv, Ido Strauss, Florian Mormann, Ariel Tankus, Daniel Hayat, Jan Boström, Martin Soehle, Idit Matot, Hanna Hayat, and Yuval Nir. Anesthesia-induced loss of consciousness disrupts auditory responses beyond primary cortex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117(21):11770–11780, 2020.

[21] Pascal Fries. Rhythms for cognition: Communication through coherence. *Neuron*, 88(1):220–235, 2015.

[22] Michael A. Persinger and Kevin S. Saroka. Human quantitative electroencephalographic and schumann resonance exhibit real-time coherence of spectral power densities: Implications for interactive information processing. *Journal of Signal and Information Processing*, 06(02):153–164, 2015.

[23] Francisco J. Esteban, José A. Langa, Eva Vargas, and Fernando Soler Toscano. Synchronization, information, and brain dynamics in consciousness research. *Applied Sciences*, 16(2):1056, 2026.

[24] Gregory S. Engel, Tae-Kyu Ahn, Tomáš Mančal, Elizabeth L. Read, Yuan-Chung Cheng, Robert E. Blankenship, Tessa R. Calhoun, and Graham R. Fleming. Evidence for wavelike energy transfer through quantum coherence in photosynthetic systems. *Nature*, 446(7137):782–786, 2007.

[25] Gregory D. Scholes and Graham R. Fleming. What is quantum biology? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 123(14):e2531134123, 2026.

[26] Christine Nießner and Michael Winklhofer. Radical-pair-based magnetoreception in birds: radio-frequency experiments and the role of cryptochrome. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A-neuroethology Sensory Neural and Behavioral Physiology*, 203(6-7):499–507, 2017.

[27] Jingjing Xu, Simon Horst, Kevin B. Henbest, Tilo Zollitsch, Christiane R. Timmel, Jiate Luo, Can Xie, Victoire Déjean, Matthew J. Golesworthy, Ilia A. Solov'yov, Tommy L. Pitcher, Stuart R. Mackenzie, Hang Yin, Maike Herrmann, Patrick D. F. Murton, Henrik Mouritsen, Jessica R. Walton, Marcin Konowalczyk, Jessica Schmidt, Gabriel Moise, Stefanie J. Käsehagen, Daniel J. C. Sowood, Yogarany Chelliah, Angela S. Gehrckens, Karl-Wilhelm Koch, Haijia Wu, Rabea Bartölke, Glen Dautaj, Stefan Weber, Marco Bassetto, Jessica L. Fleming, Sabine Richert, Yujing Wei, Joseph S. Takahashi, Lauren E. Jarocha, and P. J. Hore. Magnetic sensitivity of cryptochrome 4 from a migratory songbird. *Nature*, 594(7864):535–540, 2021.

[28] Thorsten Ritz, Peter Thalau, John B. Phillips, Roswitha Wiltschko, and Wolfgang Wiltschko. Resonance effects indicate a radical-pair mechanism for avian magnetic compass. *Nature*, 429(6988):177–180, 2004.

[29] Kiminori Maeda, Kevin B. Henbest, Christiane R. Timmel, Erik Schleicher, Till Biskup, Margaret Ahmad, Arthur C. Robinson, Hannah J. Hogben, Stefan Weber, and P. J. Hore. Magnetically sensitive light-induced reactions in cryptochrome are consistent with its proposed role as a magnetoreceptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(13):4774–4779, 2012.

[30] Pau Amaro-Seoane et al. Low-frequency gravitational-wave science with elisa/ngo. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 2012.

[31] Xuzhao Yang, Fan Wang, Hui Tian, NI Jin-ping, and Rui Chen. Low signal-to-noise ratio optoelectronic signal reconstruction based on zero-phase multi-stage collaborative filtering. *Sensors*, 25(9):2758, 2025.

[32] L. Herrera. Landauer principle and general relativity. *Entropy*, 22(3):340, 2020.

[33] Black hole horizons & dimensional reduction correspondence. *Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research)*, 2025.

[34] Christopher J. Miller, R. C. Nichol, and D. J. Batuski. Acoustic oscillations in the early universe and today. *Science*, 292(5525):2302–2303, 2001.

[35] Wayne Hu and Naoshi Sugiyama. Toward understanding cmb anisotropies and their implications. *Physical Review D*, 51(6):2599–2630, 1995.

[36] Rui Xu, Shihao Deng, Junfeng Lu, and Ligong Bian. Phase transitions in the early universe: Impacts on bbn and cmb observables. *Physical Review D*, 112(9), 2025.

[37] Zhen Pan, Brigid Mulroe, L. Knox, and Ali Narimani. Cosmic microwave background acoustic peak locations. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 459(3):2513–2524, 2016.

[38] Simone Aiola et al. The atacama cosmology telescope: Dr4 maps and cosmological parameters. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2020.

[39] Maria Chiara Guzzetti, N. Bartolo, M. Liguori, and S. Matarrese. Gravitational waves from inflation. *arXiv (Cornell University)*, 2016.

[40] Kevork N. Abazajian et al. Cmb-s4 science case, reference design, and project plan. *HAL (Le Centre pour la Communication Scientifique Directe)*, 2019.

[41] Kevork N. Abazajian et al. Cmb-s4: Forecasting constraints on primordial gravitational waves. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 926(1):54, 2022.

[42] Will J. Percival. Baryon acoustic oscillations: A cosmological ruler. *Physics Today*, 70(12):32–38, 2017.

[43] N. Aghanim et al. Planck 2018 results. vi. cosmological parameters. *Archivio Istituzionale della Ricerca (Universita Degli Studi Di Milano)*.

[44] Francisco Asensio-Rivera, Héctor Gil-Marín, Nils Schöneberg, and Licia Verde. The bao scale — how standard is the standard ruler? *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2026(07):046, 2026.

[45] M. P. Gough. Holographic dark information energy. *Entropy*, 13(4):924–935, 2011.

[46] M. P. Gough. Information dark energy can resolve the hubble tension and is falsifiable by experiment. *Entropy*, 24(3):385, 2022.

[47] Bruno Wayne Salter. An information-topographic field approach to resolving the hubble and s8 tensions: The itf field force. *Journal of High Energy Physics Gravitation and Cosmology*, 12(03):1559–1601, 2026.

[48] Devaraja Mallesha Naik. Confronting holographic dark energy with the latest cosmological data: Tensions and model viability. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 2026.

[49] Stefan Seckmeyer, Jan-Niclas Siemß, Christian Struckmann, Gabriel Müller, and Naceur Gaaloul. Fftarray: A python library for the implementation of discretized multi-dimensional fourier transforms. *SciPost Physics Codebases*, 2026.

[50] Gabriel Landini. Evidence of linguistic structure in the voynich manuscript using spectral analysis. *Cryptologia*, 25(4):275–295, 2001.

[51] Gustavo Navas-Reascos, Luz María Alonso-Valerdi, and David I. Ibarra-Zárate. Archaeoacoustics around the world: A literature review (2016–2022). *Applied Sciences*, 13(4):2361, 2023.

[52] N. M. Viall, L. Kepko, and Harlan E. Spence. Relative occurrence rates and connection of discrete frequency oscillations in the solar wind density and dayside magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114(A1), 2009.